

KC Lab Instructor Evaluations, Fall 2006

Total Administered: 1233, Total Received: 799 (65%)

Course content and lab activities followed the syllabus student learning outcomes.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	462	57.82	
Agree	296	37.05	
Disagree	28	3.50	
Strongly Disagree	9	1.13	
N/A	4	0.50	

Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	439	54.94	
Agree	310	38.80	
Disagree	42	5.26	
Strongly Disagree	7	0.88	
N/A	1	0.13	

The instructor was prepared for each lab.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	470	58.82	
Agree	255	31.91	
Disagree	51	6.38	
Strongly Disagree	16	2.00	
N/A	7	0.88	

Materials were available before the lab began.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	458	57.32	
Agree	261	32.67	
Disagree	56	7.01	
Strongly Disagree	9	1.13	
N/A	15	1.88	

Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	442	55.32	
Agree	290	36.30	
Disagree	54	6.76	
Strongly Disagree	7	0.88	
N/A	6	0.75	

The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	444	55.57	
Agree	257	32.17	
Disagree	67	8.39	
Strongly Disagree	22	2.75	
N/A	9	1.13	

The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	505	63.20	
Agree	257	32.17	
Disagree	20	2.50	
Strongly Disagree	12	1.50	
N/A	5	0.63	

The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback during the activities.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	470	58.82	
Agree	271	33.92	
Disagree	41	5.13	
Strongly Disagree	11	1.38	
N/A	6	0.75	

Results from lab activities were discussed.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	443	55.44	
Agree	277	34.67	
Disagree	49	6.13	
Strongly Disagree	21	2.63	
N/A	9	1.13	

Lab was clean and organized for each period.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	453	56.70	
Agree	295	36.92	
Disagree	34	4.26	
Strongly Disagree	7	0.88	
N/A	10	1.25	

The lab grading system was fully explained to me.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	448	56.07	
Agree	281	35.17	
Disagree	55	6.88	
Strongly Disagree	7	0.88	
N/A	8	1.00	

Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	435	54.44	
Agree	255	31.91	
Disagree	66	8.26	
Strongly Disagree	22	2.75	
N/A	21	2.63	

KC Lab Instructor Evaluations, Fall 2006

Total Administered: 1233, Total Received: 799 (65%)

Daily grades were of benefit to me.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	447	55.94	
Agree	252	31.54	
Disagree	52	6.51	
Strongly Disagree	10	1.25	
N/A	38	4.76	

The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	474	59.32	
Agree	269	33.67	
Disagree	38	4.76	
Strongly Disagree	8	1.00	
N/A	10	1.25	

The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	471	58.95	
Agree	277	34.67	
Disagree	34	4.26	
Strongly Disagree	10	1.25	
N/A	7	0.88	

Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	472	59.07	
Agree	258	32.29	
Disagree	37	4.63	
Strongly Disagree	28	3.50	
N/A	4	0.50	

Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	468	58.57	
Agree	262	32.79	
Disagree	26	3.25	
Strongly Disagree	7	0.88	
N/A	36	4.51	

Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	442	55.32	
Agree	271	33.92	
Disagree	33	4.13	
Strongly Disagree	11	1.38	
N/A	42	5.26	

The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	476	59.57	
Agree	277	34.67	
Disagree	29	3.63	
Strongly Disagree	8	1.00	
N/A	9	1.13	

The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	465	58.20	
Agree	279	34.92	
Disagree	27	3.38	
Strongly Disagree	7	0.88	
N/A	21	2.63	

I could understand the lab manual.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	459	57.45	
Agree	274	34.29	
Disagree	42	5.26	
Strongly Disagree	5	0.63	
N/A	19	2.38	

The instructor explained safe lab procedures.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	511	63.95	
Agree	263	32.92	
Disagree	11	1.38	
Strongly Disagree	4	0.50	
N/A	10	1.25	

The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	517	64.71	
Agree	253	31.66	
Disagree	17	2.13	
Strongly Disagree	3	0.38	
N/A	9	1.13	

A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	503	62.95	
Agree	269	33.67	
Disagree	16	2.00	
Strongly Disagree	4	0.50	
N/A	7	0.88	

KC Lab Instructor Evaluations, Fall 2006
 Total Administered: 1233, Total Received: 799 (65%)

The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	510	63.83	
Agree	258	32.29	
Disagree	19	2.38	
Strongly Disagree	4	0.50	
N/A	8	1.00	

The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	480	60.08	
Agree	235	29.41	
Disagree	56	7.01	
Strongly Disagree	19	2.38	
N/A	9	1.13	

The instructor was accessible.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	478	59.82	
Agree	260	32.54	
Disagree	30	3.75	
Strongly Disagree	13	1.63	
N/A	18	2.25	

The instructor demonstrated/exhibited concern with my progress.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	466	58.32	
Agree	252	31.54	
Disagree	53	6.63	
Strongly Disagree	21	2.63	
N/A	7	0.88	

The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	490	61.33	
Agree	237	29.66	
Disagree	43	5.38	
Strongly Disagree	18	2.25	
N/A	11	1.38	

The instructor encouraged student participation in class.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	491	61.45	
Agree	258	32.29	
Disagree	28	3.50	
Strongly Disagree	12	1.50	
N/A	10	1.25	

I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.

Response	Count	Percent	
Strongly Agree	487	60.95	
Agree	205	25.66	
Disagree	40	5.01	
Strongly Disagree	45	5.63	
N/A	22	2.75	